

From: Dave Poor
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 9:15 PM
To: Romi Bassett (for Bill Bassett)
Subject: Re: bassett scope mount

Regarding: Bassett Standard High Scope Mount

Hello Romi and Bill,

Got the mount ok and now have it installed. I can say this....I am both VERY pleased and a tad bit unhappy. The only reason I'm unhappy is because the scope mounts on an angle. I had wanted to put my Leatherwood on it as well as night vision, but cannot. The plus side? Well, there are several....

First, it's simple, rugged and functional. Plain and simple. I mounted the recommended Weaver rings and a new Leupy scope and went to the range today. 140 rounds later, it's still tight and functional. I removed it and remounted it 4 different times and the zero only changed ONCE by one minute. I removed it again, remounted it and it came right back. What more could you ask for??

Second, it allows the scope to sit lower than a "flat" mount so a smaller cheekrest works better.

Third? Well, you get the picture.

Bill, it may not be "mil-spec", but I'll tell you what, it works and works WELL!! You did a Class A job on this. You should be very proud of the work your company has done! You've earned it!

David N. Poor

[Added a month later:](#)

These were before the release of our Picatinny Rail model.

From: Dave Poor
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2008 12:27 AM
To: Romi Bassett (for Bill Bassett)
Subject: Re: bassett scope mount

I just wanted both you and Bill to know...

**I've had the chance to see many mounts in use on both the M14 and M1A service rifles. In the service roll, there sure is a need for the "flat" mount so one can use varied optics. As for the M1A versions, I can STILL tell you that Bill's mount is absolutely +1 for sure. I for one LOVE this mount. I am very glad that you MADE this mount, I am very glad that I BOUGHT this mount. Godspeed to you and Bill and all that you do!!
David N. Poor**